arXiv:1408.1663v1 [math.DS] 7 Aug 2014

PIECEWISE CONTRACTIONS DEFINED BY ITERATED FUNCTION SYSTEMS

Arnaldo Nogueira[1]

Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy, Aix-Marseille Université
163, avenue de Luminy - Case 907, 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
arnaldo.nogueira@univ-amu.fr

Benito Pires [2] and Rafael A. Rosales

Departamento de Computação e Matemática, Faculdade de Filosofia, Ciências e Letras
Universidade de São Paulo, 14040-901, Ribeirão Preto - SP, Brazil
benito@usp.br, rrosales@usp.br

Abstract. Let $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n : [0,1] \to (0,1)$ be Lipschitz contractions. Let $I = [0,1)$, $x_0 = 0$ and $x_n = 1$. We prove that for Lebesgue almost every $(x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$ satisfying $0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < 1$, the piecewise contraction $f : I \to I$ defined by $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i) \mapsto \phi_i(x)$ is asymptotically periodic. More precisely, f has at least one and at most n periodic orbits and the ω-limit set $\omega_f(x)$ is a periodic orbit of f for every $x \in I$.

1. Introduction

Here we consider piecewise contracting maps of the interval which are defined by iterated function systems. Throughout, $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ is an *Iterated Function System* (IFS) if each map $\phi_i : [0,1] \to (0,1)$ is a Lipschitz contraction.

Let $I = [0,1)$, $n \geq 2$ and $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ be an IFS. Let

$$\Omega_{n-1} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) : 0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < 1\}.$$

For each $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}$, let $f = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}} : I \to I$ be the *n-interval piecewise contraction* (*PC*) defined by

$$f(x) = \phi_i(x), \quad x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i), \tag{1}$$

where $x_0 = 0$ and $x_n = 1$. Notice that we may identify the $(n-1)$-parameter family of all maps f defined by (1) with the open region $\Omega_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. The aim of this article is to characterize the topological dynamics of a typical PC f. This question was already considered in [11, 12], but the results achieved therein depend on two additional hypotheses: that the Lipschitz contractions $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$ are injective and have non-overlapping ranges.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37E05 Secondary 37C20, 37E15.

Key words and phrases. Piecewise contraction, topological dynamics, periodic orbit, iterated function systems.

[1]Partially supported by BREUDS and CAPES/COFFECUB 661/10.

[2]Partially supported by FAPESP 2013/12359-3 and CNPq 303731/2013-3.

Therefore, only injective n-interval PCs were studied in the mentioned articles. Here we introduce an approach which deals with both injective and non-injective PCs altogether, and addresses the question in full generality.

A number of systems such as Cherry flows (see [7]), strange billiards (see [1, 4, 10]), outer billiards (see [8, 9, 14]), queueing systems ([13]), neural networks ([3]) and genetic regulatory networks ([5]) are governed by PCs. In discretely controlled dynamical systems, the maps $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$ are the decision-making policies that drive the process. Therefore, characterizing the long-term behavior of PCs is an issue of current interest to a broad audience.

A great deal is already known about the dynamics of injective n-interval PCs. Indeed, such maps are topologically conjugate to piecewise linear contractions having at most n periodic orbits (see [12, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2]). It is also known that a typical injective n-interval PC has at least one and at most n periodic orbits that attract all orbits of the map (see [11]). A similar result was achieved for piecewise affine contractions of $\mathbb{C}$ (see [2]). The combinatorial dynamics of (injective or non-injective) PCs of 2-intervals (or 2-complete metric spaces) was studied in [6]. Here we deal with the general case where the map f is an n-interval (injective or non-injective) piecewise (linear or non-linear) contraction.

Throughout the article all metrical statements concern the Lebesgue measure. Given an n-interval PC $f : I \to I$ and $x \in I$, let $\omega_f(x) = \bigcap_{m\geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{k\geq m}\{f^k(x)\}}$ be the ω-limit set of x. The finite set $O_f(p) = \{p, f(p), \dots, f^{k-1}(p)\}$, where $p \in I$ and $f^k(p) = p$, is called a *periodic orbit of* f. We say that f is *asymptotically periodic* if $\omega_f(x)$ is a periodic orbit of f for every $x \in I$.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n : [0, 1] \to (0, 1)$ be Lipschitz contractions, then for almost every $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}$, the n-interval PC $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ defined by (1) is asymptotically periodic and has at least one and at most n periodic orbits.*

The claim of Theorem 1.1 holds if we replace in (1) the partition $[x_0, x_1), \dots, [x_{n-1}, x_n)$ by any partition $I_1, \dots, I_n$ of I such that each interval I_i has endpoints x_{i-1} and x_i.

Our approach consists in studying how the IFS $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ acts on the closed unit interval $\bar{I} = [0, 1]$. Much information about the topological dynamics of a typical *PC* f is revealed by analyzing the sequence of nested sets A_k, $k \geq 0$, defined recursively as

$$A_0 = \bar{I} \quad \text{and} \quad A_k = \cup_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(A_{k-1}) \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1.$$

In this regard, we show in Section 2 that the asymptotical periodicity of a typical f is assured whenever $\cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$ is a null set. In the same section, we prove that a sufficient condition for $\cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$ to be a null set is that the IFS be formed by highly contracting maps. The main result there states that a typical PC defined by a highly contractive IFS is asymptotically periodic.

The approach followed in Section 2 has limited scope because the set $\cap_{k\geq 0}A_k$ may have positive Lebesgue measure for an IFS which is not highly contractive. Section 6 provides a simple example of this. Nevertheless, the result presented in Section 2 is a tool that will be used to tackle the general case. In Section 3 we improve the result provided in the previous section. More specifically, we prove that the assumption that the IFS $\{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n\}$ is highly contractive can be replaced by the weaker hypothesis that each ϕ_i is a κ-Lipschitz contraction with $0\leq\kappa<\frac{1}{2}$. In Section 4, we prove that the general case can be reduced to the case in which each ϕ_i is a κ-Lipschitz contraction with $\kappa<\frac{1}{2}$. Finally, in Section 5, we make use of some combinatorics to prove that the upper bound for the number of periodic orbits of a typical f defined by (1) is n. Our main result follows from Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 5.1.

2. Highly Contractive Iterated Function Systems

In this section, we provide a partial version of our main result that holds for any IFS with small contraction constant. More precisely, we say that an IFS $\{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n\}$ is *highly contractive* if there exists $0\leq\rho<1$ such that for almost every $x\in I$, $D\phi_i(x)$ exists and

$$|D\phi_1(x)|+\ldots+|D\phi_n(x)|\leq\rho<1. \tag{2}$$

This includes the case in which each $\phi_i:\bar{I}\to(0,1)$ is a κ-Lipschitz contraction with $\kappa<\frac{1}{n}$. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Phi=\{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n\}$ be a highly contractive IFS, then there exists a full set $W_\Phi\subset I$ such that, for every $(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}\cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$, the n-interval PC $f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$ defined by (1) is asymptotically periodic.*

In Theorem 2.1, W_Φ^{n-1} denotes the set $\underbrace{W_\Phi\times\cdots\times W_\Phi}_{n-1 \text{ times}}$. We need some preparatory lemmas to prove Theorem 2.1. Throughout this section, except in Definition 2.9 and Lemma 2.10, assume that $\Phi=\{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n\}$ is a highly contractive IFS.

Denote by Id the identity map on $\bar{I}$. Let $\mathscr{C}_0=\{Id\}$ and $A_0=\bar{I}$. For every $k\geq 0$, let

$$\mathscr{C}_{k+1}=\mathscr{C}_{k+1}(\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n)=\{\phi_i\circ h\mid 1\leq i\leq n, h\in\mathscr{C}_k\}\quad\text{and}\quad A_k=\cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k}h(\bar{I}). \tag{3}$$

Let λ denote the Lebesgue measure.

Lemma 2.2. *For every $k\geq 0$, the following holds*

(i) *A_k is the union of at most n^k intervals;*
(ii) *$A_{k+1}\subset A_k$;*
(iiii) *$A_{k+1}=\cup_{i=1}^n\phi_i(A_k)$;*
(iv) *$\lambda(A_{k+1})\leq\rho\lambda(A_k)$, where ρ is given by (2).*

Proof. The claim (i) is elementary. It follows easily from (3) that

$$\mathscr{C}_{k+1}=\{h\circ\phi_i\mid 1\leq i\leq n, h\in\mathscr{C}_k\}.$$

Now let $g \in \mathscr{C}_{k+1}$, thus there exist $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $h \in \mathscr{C}_k$ such that $g = h \circ \phi_i$. In this way, $g(\bar{I}) = h\left(\phi_i(\bar{I})\right) \subset h(\bar{I}) \subset A_k$, then $A_{k+1} \subset A_k$, which proves the claim (ii). The claim (iii) follows from the following equalities

$$A_{k+1} = \cup_{g\in\mathscr{C}_{k+1}} g(\bar{I}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} \phi_i\big(h(\bar{I})\big) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i\Big(\bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h(\bar{I})\Big) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(A_k).$$

The change of variables formula for Lipschitz maps together with the claim (iii) and the equation (2) yield

$$\lambda(A_{k+1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda\left(\phi_i(A_k)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{A_k} |D\phi_i| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_{A_k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |D\phi_i| \right) \mathrm{d}\lambda \leq \rho\lambda(A_k),$$

which proves the claim (iv). □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $W_1 = I \setminus \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$, then $W_1 = \bar{I}$ almost surely.*

Proof. By the item (iv) of Lemma 2.2, we have that $\lambda(A_k) \leq \rho^k$ for every $k \geq 0$, thus $\lambda(\cap_{k\geq 0} A_k) = 0$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $h : \bar{I} \to \bar{I}$ be a Lipschitz map, then $h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is finite for almost every $x \in \bar{I}$.*

Proof. See [15, Theorem]. □

Corollary 2.5. *There exists a full set set $W_2 \subset I$ such that $h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is a finite set for every $x \in W_2$ and $h \in \cup_{k\geq 0} \mathscr{C}_k$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 and from the fact that $\mathscr{C}_k$ is finite. □

Hereafter, let W_1 and W_2 be as in Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.5, respectively. Let

$$W_\Phi = W_1 \cap W_2, \text{ then } W_\Phi = I \text{ almost surely.} \tag{4}$$

Proposition 2.6. *For each $x \in W_\Phi$, $\displaystyle\bigcup_{k\geq 0} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is a finite subset of $\bar{I} \setminus \bigcap_{k\geq 0} A_k$.*

Proof. Let $x \in W_\Phi$. Assume by contradiction that $\cup_{k\geq 0} \cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is an infinite set. By Corollary 2.5, for every $k \geq 0$, the set $\bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is finite. Therefore, for infinitely many $k \geq 0$, the set $\bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is nonempty and $x \in A_k$. By the item (ii) of Lemma 2.2, $x \in \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$, which contradicts the fact that $x \in W_1$. This proves the first claim.

Let $y \in \bigcup_{k\geq 0} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x\})$, then there exist $\ell \geq 0$ and $h_\ell \in \mathscr{C}_\ell$ such that $x = h_\ell(y)$. Assume by contradiction that $y \in \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$. Then $x \in h_\ell(A_k) \subset \cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_\ell} h(A_k) = A_{\ell+k}$ for every $k \geq 0$, implying that $x \in \cap_{k\geq \ell} A_k \subset \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$, which is a contradiction. This proves the second claim. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$, then the set*

$$Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigcup_{k\geq 0} f^{-k}(\{x_i\}) \tag{5}$$

is finite. Moreover, $Q \subset I \setminus \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$.

Proof. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$. By Proposition 2.6, the set $\cup_{k\geq 0}\cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x_i\})$ is finite for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Hence, as

$$\bigcup_{k\geq 0} f^{-k}(\{x_i\}) \subset \bigcup_{k\geq 0}\bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x_i\}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

we have that Q is also a finite set. Moreover, $Q \subset I \setminus \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$ by Proposition 2.6. □

The next corollary assures that the claim of Theorem 2.7 holds if the partition $[x_0, x_1)$, $\dots$, $[x_{n-1}, x_n)$ in (1) is replaced by any partition $I_1, \dots, I_n$ with each interval I_i having endpoints x_{i-1} and x_i.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ be as in Theorem 2.7. Let $\tilde{f} : I \to I$ be a map having the following properties:*

(P1) $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$ *for every* $x \in (0,1) \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$;

(P2) $\tilde{f}(x_i) \in \{\lim_{x\to x_i-} f(x), \lim_{x\to x_i+} f(x)\}$ *for every* $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Then the set $\tilde{Q} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1}\bigcup_{k\geq 0} \tilde{f}^{-k}(\{x_i\})$ is finite.

Proof. The definition of f given by (1) together with the properties (P1) and (P2) assure that there exists a partition of I into n intervals $I_1, \dots, I_n$ such that, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, the interval I_i has endpoints x_{i-1} and x_i and $\tilde{f}|_{I_i} = \phi_i|_{I_i}$. In particular, we have that $\tilde{Q} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1}\bigcup_{k\geq 0}\bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x_i\})$ which is a finite set by Proposition 2.6. □

In the next definition and in the next lemma, it is not assumed that the IFS is highly contractive.

Definition 2.9. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ be such that the set Q defined in (5) is finite. The collection $\mathscr{P} = \{J_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$ of all connected components of $(0,1) \setminus Q$ is called the *invariant quasi-partition* of f. In this case, we say that the PC f *admits the invariant quasi-partition.*

The existence of the invariant quasi-partition plays a fundamental role in this article.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ and $\mathscr{P} = \{J_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$ be as in Definition 2.9, then for every interval $J \in \mathscr{P}$ there exists an interval $J' \in \mathscr{P}$ such that $f(J) \subset J'$.*

Proof. Assume that the claim of the lemma is false, then there exists $J \in \mathscr{P}$ such that $f(J) \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $J \cap f^{-1}(Q) \neq \emptyset$. However, $f^{-1}(Q) \subset Q$ implying that $J \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ which contradicts the definition of $\mathscr{P}$. □

As the next lemma shows, the existence of the invariant quasi-partition $\mathscr{P}$ implies the following weaker notion of periodicity. Let $d : I \to \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the piecewise constant function defined by $d(x) = i$ if $x \in I_i$. The *itinerary* of the point $x \in I$ is the sequence of digits $d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots$ defined by $d_k = d\left(f^k(x)\right)$. We say that *the itineraries of f are eventually periodic* if the sequence $d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots$ is eventually periodic for every $x \in I$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$, then all itineraries of $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ are eventually periodic.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, Q is finite, thus f admits the invariant quasi-partition $\mathscr{P} = \{J_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$ as in Definition 2.9. By Lemma 2.10, there exists a map $\tau : \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,m\}$ such that $f(J_\ell) \subset J_{\tau(\ell)}$ for every $1 \le \ell \le m$. Let $1 \le \ell_0 \le m$ and $\{\ell_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be the sequence defined recursively by $\ell_{k+1} = \tau(\ell_k)$ for every $k \ge 0$. It is elementary that the sequence $\{\ell_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is eventually periodic. We have that $x_i \in Q$ (see (5)) for every $1 \le i \le n-1$, therefore, by (P1), there exists a unique map $\eta : \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ satisfying $J_\ell \subset I_{\eta(\ell)}$ for every $1 \le \ell \le m$, Hence, the sequence $\{\eta(\ell_k)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is eventually periodic. By definition, the itinerary of any $x \in J_{\ell_0}$ is the sequence $\{\eta(\ell_k)\}_{k=0}^\infty$.

Now let $x \in \{0\} \cup Q$. If $\{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots\} \subset Q$, then the orbit of x is eventually periodic and so is its itinerary. Otherwise, there exist $1 \le \ell_0 \le m$ and $k \ge 1$ such that $f^k(x) \in J_{\ell_0}$. By the above, the itinerary of $f^k(x)$ is eventually periodic and so is that of x. This proves the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$. By Theorem 2.7, Q is finite, thus f admits the invariant quasi-partition $\mathscr{P} = \{J_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$ as in Definition 2.9. Let $1 \le \ell_0 \le m$ and $x \in J_{\ell_0}$. In the proof of Lemma 2.11, it was proved that the itinerary of x in $\mathscr{P}$, $\{\ell_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$, is eventualy periodic. Therefore there exist an integer $s \ge 0$ and an even integer $p \ge 2$ such that $\ell_s = \ell_{s+p}$. As $\mathscr{P}$ is invariant under f, $f^p(J_{\ell_s}) \subset J_{\ell_{s+p}} = J_{\ell_s}$. Hence, if $J_{\ell_s} = (a,b)$, there exist $0 \le a \le c \le d \le b \le 1$ such that $\overline{f^p((a,b))} = [c,d]$. We claim that $c > a$. Assume by contradiction that $c = a$. As $f^p|_{(a,b)}$ is a nondecreasing contractive map, there exist $0 \le \eta < \epsilon$ such that $\overline{f^p((a,a+\epsilon))} = [a,a+\eta]$. By induction, for every integer $k \ge 1$, there exist $0 \le \eta_k < \epsilon_k$ such that $\overline{f^{kp}((a,a+\epsilon_k))} = [a,a+\eta_k]$. Hence,

$$a \in \bigcap_{k\ge1} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_{kp}} h(I) = \bigcap_{k\ge1} A_{kp} = \bigcap_{k\ge0} A_k.$$

This contradicts the fact that $a \in \partial J_{\ell_s} \subset \{0,1\} \cup Q \subset I \setminus \cap_{k\ge0} A_k$ (see Theorem 2.7). We conclude therefore that $c > a$. Analogously, $d < b$. In this way, there exists $\xi > 0$ such that $f^p((a,b)) \subset (a+\xi, b-\xi)$. As $f^p|_{(a,b)}$ is a continuous contraction, f^p has a unique fixed point $z \in (a,b)$. Notice that $O_f(z)$ is a periodic orbit of f. Moreover, it is clear that $\omega(x) = O_f(z)$ for every $x \in J_{\ell_0}$.

Now let $x \in I \setminus \cup_{\ell=1}^m J_\ell = \{0\} \cup Q$. By the proof of Lemma 2.11, either $O_f(x)$ is contained in the finite set $I \setminus \cup_{\ell=1}^m J_\ell$ (and thus is eventually periodic) or there exists $k \ge 1$ such that $f^k(x) \in \cup_{\ell=1}^m J_\ell$. By the above, in either case, $O_f(x)$ is eventually periodic. □

3. Contractive Iterated Function Systems

In this section we prove the following improvement of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 \le \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\Phi = \{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n\}$ be an IFS where each map $\phi_i : [0,1] \to (0,1)$ is a κ-Lipschitz contraction, then there exists a full set $W_\Phi \subset I$ such that for every $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$, the PC $f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ admits the invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic.*

Theorem 3.1 will be deduced from Theorem 2.1 in the following way. First, we show that in some neighborhood V of every point $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}$, the IFS Φ can be replaced by a highly contractive IFS Υ. Then we show that this substitution suffices to prove Theorem 3.1.

Hereafter, let $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}$ be fixed. Set $x_0=0$, $x_n=1$,

$$\delta=\min_{1\le i\le n}\frac{x_i-x_{i-1}}{3}\ \text{and}\ V(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})=\{(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}:|y_i-x_i|<\delta,\forall i\}. \tag{6}$$

In what follows, let $0\le\kappa<\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n:[0,1]\to(0,1)$ be κ-Lipschitz contractions. Let $\Upsilon=\{\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n\}$ be the IFS defined by

$$\varphi_1(x)=\begin{cases}\phi_1(x) & ,\text{ if } x\in[0,x_1+\delta]\\ \phi_1(x_1+\delta) & ,\text{ if } x\in[x_1+\delta,1]\end{cases},\quad \varphi_n(x)=\begin{cases}\phi_n(x_{n-1}-\delta) & ,\text{ if } x\in[0,x_{n-1}-\delta]\\ \phi_n(x) & ,\text{ if } x\in[x_{n-1}-\delta,1]\end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_i(x)=\begin{cases}\phi_i(x_{i-1}-\delta) & ,\text{ if } x\in[0,x_{i-1}-\delta]\\ \phi_i(x) & ,\text{ if } x\in[x_{i-1}-\delta,x_i+\delta]\\ \phi_i(x_i+\delta) & ,\text{ if } x\in[x_i+\delta,1]\end{cases},\quad 2\le i\le n-1.$$

A scheme illustrating the construction of the IFS $\{\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n\}$ from the IFS $\{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n\}$ is shown in Figure 1.

Lemma 3.2. *The IFS $\Upsilon=\{\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n\}$ is highly contractive.*

Proof. It is clear that each $\varphi_i:[0,1]\to(0,1)$ is a Lipschitz contraction, therefore for almost every $x\in I$ and $1\le i\le n$, $D\varphi_i(x)$ exists. By the definition of φ_i, for almost every $x\in I$, we have that

$$|D\varphi_1(x)|+\cdots+|D\varphi_n(x)|\le\max_{1\le i\le n-1}(|D\phi_i(x)|+|D\phi_{i+1}(x)|)\le 2\kappa<1.$$

Therefore, $\{\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n\}$ is highly contractive which concludes the proof. □

In what follows, let $V=V(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})$ be as in (6).

Lemma 3.3. *For every $(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})\in V$,*

$$f_{\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}}=f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}}.$$

Proof. Let $(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})\in V$, $y_0=0$ and $y_n=1$. Set $K_i=[y_{i-1},y_i)$ for $1\le i\le n$. Since $|y_i-x_i|<\delta$, we have that

$$K_1\subset[0,x_1+\delta],K_n\subset[x_{n-1}-\delta,1]\ \text{and}\ K_i\subset[x_{i-1}-\delta,x_i+\delta],\quad 2\le i\le n-1.$$

This together with the definition of φ_i yields $\varphi_i|_{K_i}=\phi_i|_{K_i}$, for every $1\le i\le n$. □

Now we will apply the results of Section 2 to the highly contractive IFS $\Upsilon=\{\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n\}$ (see Lemma 3.2). With respect to such IFS, let $W_\Upsilon\subset I$ be the full set defined in equation (4). Notice that all the claims in Section 2 hold true for the IFS Υ. In particular, we have that $V\cap W_\Upsilon^{n-1}=V$ almost surely.



FIGURE 1. Relations between the IFS $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3\}$ and $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$.

Theorem 3.4. *For every* $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \in V \cap W_\Upsilon^{n-1}$, $f = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1}}$ *admits the invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic.*

Proof. Let $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \in V \cap W_\Upsilon^{n-1}$. By Lemma 3.3, $f = f_{\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_n,y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1}}$. By Lemma 3.2, we have that Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.7 hold for the IFS Υ. Hence, for every $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \in V \cap W_\Upsilon^{n-1}$, f admits the invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic. □

We stress that, in the previous results, $\delta = \min_{1\le i\le n}(x_i - x_{i-1})/3$, the set V and the IFS Υ depend on the point $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})$. For this reason, in the next proof, we replace V and W_Υ by $V(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})$ and $W(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})$, respectively.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Set $\Phi = \{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n\}$. First we show that

$$\bigcup_{(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}\cap\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} V(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}) = \Omega_{n-1}. \tag{7}$$

Let $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}$ and $\delta_0 = \delta(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})$. Let $(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}\cap\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ be such that $|z_i - x_i| < \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$ for every $1\le i\le n-1$. Set $z_0 = 0$ and $z_n = 1$. We have that for every $1\le j\le n$, $z_j - z_{j-1} > (x_j - \frac{1}{2}\delta_0) - (x_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0) \ge 3\delta_0 - \delta_0 = 2\delta_0$. In this way, $\delta(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}) > \frac{2}{3}\delta_0 > \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$, thus $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})\in V(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})$. This proves (7).

Let $(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}\cap\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ and let $W(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})$ be the full set in I defined by (4). By Theorem 3.4, for every $(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})\in V(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})\cap W(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})^{n-1}$, the map $f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}}$ admits the invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic. The denumerable intersection

$$W_\Phi = \bigcap_{(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}\cap\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} W(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}) \tag{8}$$

is a full set and, for every $(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})\in V(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})\cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$, the map $f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}}$ admits the invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic. This together with (7) conclude the proof. □

4. Asymptotic periodicity: the general case

Throughout this section, let $0\le\rho<1$ and $\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n:[0,1]\to(0,1)$ be ρ-Lipschitz contractions. Let $k\ge 1$ be such that $\rho^k < \frac{1}{2}$. By the Chain rule for Lipschitz maps, $\mathscr{C}_k = \mathscr{C}_k(\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n)$ (see (3)) is a collection of at most n^k ρ^k-Lipschitz contractions.

For each $r\ge 2$, let $\mathscr{I}_r$ denote the collection of all IFS $\{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r\}$, where each ψ_j, $1\le j\le r$, belongs to $\mathscr{C}_k(\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n)$. The collection $\mathscr{I}_r$ consists of at most $\dfrac{n^k!}{(n^k-r)!}$ IFS. Notice that in an IFS, the order in which the maps are listed matters. The fact that $\rho^k < \frac{1}{2}$ implies that any IFS in $\cup_{r\ge2}\mathscr{I}_r$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1.

In the statement of Theorem 3.1, the set W_Φ depends on the IFS $\Phi = \{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n\}$. In the next corollary, the set W does not depend on the IFS $\Psi = \{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r\}$, provided Ψ is chosen within the denumerable collection $\cup_{r\ge2}\mathscr{I}_r$.

Corollary 4.1. *There exists a full set $W\subset I$ such that for every $r\ge2$, $\{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r\}\in\mathscr{I}_r$ and $(y_1,\dots,y_{r-1})\in\Omega_{r-1}\cap W^{r-1}$, the r-interval PC $f_{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r,y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}}$ admits the invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{I} = \cup_{r\ge2}\mathscr{I}_r$. By Theorem 3.1, for each IFS $\Psi = \{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r\}\in\mathscr{I}$, there exists a full set $W_\Psi\subset I$ such that the following holds: for every $(y_1,\dots,y_{r-1})\in\Omega_{r-1}\cap W_\Psi^{r-1}$, the r-interval PC $g = f_{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r,y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}}$ admits the invariant quasi-partition and is

asymptotically periodic. The proof is concluded by taking $W = \cap_{\Psi\in\mathscr{I}} W_\Psi$. Since $\mathscr{I}$ is denumerable, we have that W is a full subset of I. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $g = f_{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r,y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}}$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1 and let $\tilde{g} : I \to I$ be any map having the following properties:*

(P1) $\tilde{g}(y) = g(y)$ *for every* $y \in (0,1) \setminus \{y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}\}$;

(P2) $\tilde{g}(y_j) \in \{\lim_{y\to y_j-} g(y), \lim_{y\to y_j+} g(y)\}$ *for every* $1 \le j \le r-1$.

Then the map $\tilde{g}$ admits the invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic.

Proof. Let $x \in I$. If $O_{\tilde{g}}(x) \subset \{0\} \cup \{y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}\}$, then $O_{\tilde{g}}(x)$ is eventually periodic. Otherwise, there exists $\ell \ge 0$ such that $O_{\tilde{g}}\left(\tilde{g}^\ell(x)\right) \subset (0,1) \setminus \{y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}\}$. In this case, by (P2), we have that $O_{\tilde{g}}\left(\tilde{g}^\ell(x)\right) = O_g\left(\tilde{g}^\ell(x)\right)$, which is eventually periodic by Corollary 4.1. This proves that $\tilde{g}$ is asymptotically periodic.

It remains to be shown that the set $\tilde{Q} = \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} \cup_{k\ge 0} \tilde{g}^{-k}(\{y_j\})$ is finite. By proceeding as in the proof of Corollary 2.8, it can be proved that the claims of Lemma 3.3, Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.1 and therefore Corollary 4.1 hold if we replace in (1) the partition $[x_0,x_1)$, $\dots$, $[x_{n-1},x_n)$ by any partition $I_1,\dots,I_n$ where each interval I_i has endpoints x_{i-1} and x_i. This means that in Corollary 4.1 we can replace the map g by the map $\tilde{g}$ and conclude that the set $\tilde{Q}$ is finite. Hence, $\tilde{g}$ admits the invariant quasi-partition. □

Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 will be used later on this section. Now let us come back to the original IFS $\{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n\}$.

We denote by Ω'_{n-1} the set

$$\Omega'_{n-1} = \{(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} : \{x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}\} \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigcup_{\ell\ge 1} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_\ell} \{h(x_i)\} = \emptyset\}. \tag{9}$$

Lemma 4.3. *$\Omega'_{n-1} = \Omega_{n-1}$ almost surely.*

Proof. There are only denumerably many sets of the form $\{(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \mid x_j = h(x_i)\}$, where $0 \le i \le n-1$, $1 \le j \le n-1$ and $h \in \bigcup_{\ell\ge 1} \mathscr{C}_\ell$. Being the graph of a smooth function, each set is a null set. Therefore, Ω'_{n-1} equals a denumerable intersection of full sets implying that it is a full subset of Ω_{n-1}. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$. Let γ be a periodic orbit of f, then there exists a neighborhood $U \subset I$ of γ such that $f(U) \subset U$ and $\gamma = \cap_{\ell\ge 0} f^\ell(U)$. Moreover, $\omega_f(x) = \gamma$ for every $x \in U$.*

Proof. Let γ be a periodic orbit of f. As $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ and $f(I) \subset (0,1)$, we have that $\gamma \cap \{x_0,\dots,x_{n-1}\} = \emptyset$. Let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\min\{|x - x_i| : x \in \gamma, 0 \le i \le n\}$ and set $U := \cup_{x\in\gamma}(x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon)$, in particular $U \subset I \setminus \{x_0,\dots,x_{n-1}\}$. This together with the fact that $f|_{[x_{i-1},x_i)}$ is a Lipschitz contraction implies that $f(U) \subset U$, thus $\gamma = \cap_{\ell\ge 0} f^\ell(U)$. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$, then f^k is left-continuous or right-continuous at each point of I.*

Proof. Let $y \in I$ and $S_y = \{y, f(y), \dots, f^{k-1}(y)\}$. The fact that $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ assures that $S_y \cap \{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ is either empty or unitary. In the former case, we have that f is continuous on S_y, hence f^k is continuous at y. In the latter case, there exists $y' \in S_y$ such that f is continuous on $S_y \setminus y'$ and f is left-continuous or right-continuous at y'. Accordingly, f^k is either left-continuous or right-continuous at y. □

For the next result, let W be the full set in the statement of Corollary 4.1.

Lemma 4.6. *There exists a full set $W_0 \subset W$ such that if $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1} \cap W_0^{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$, then*

$$f^k|_{(y_{j-1},y_j)} = \psi_j|_{(y_{j-1},y_j)},\ 1 \le j \le r,$$

for some $r \ge 2$, $(y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \in \Omega_{r-1} \cap W^{r-1}$, and $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r \in \mathscr{C}_k$. Moreover, f^k is left-continuous or right-continuous at each point of I.

Proof. Let $M = \left\{x \in W \,\middle|\, \cup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_\ell} h^{-1}(\{x\}) \not\subset W\right\}$. Notice that $M \subset \cup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_\ell} h(I \setminus W)$, where $I \setminus W$ is a null set, therefore M is a null set. By Lemma 2.4, there exists a full set $W_0 \subset W \setminus M$ such that $\bigcup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} f^{-\ell}(\{x\}) \subset \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_\ell} h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is a finite subset of W for every $x \in W_0$. Now let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1} \cap W_0^{n-1}$, thus $\bigcup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} f^{-\ell}(\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\})$ is a finite subset of $W \setminus \{0\}$ and we may list its elements in ascending order $0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_{r-1} < 1$. In this way, $(y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \in \Omega_{r-1} \cap W^{r-1}$. Let us analyze how f^k acts on the the intervals $E_1 = (y_0, y_1), \dots, E_r = (y_{r-1}, y_r)$. Fix $1 \le j \le r$. Since $\{y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}\} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} f^{-\ell}(\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\})$, we have that for each $0 \le \ell \le k-1$, there exists a unique $1 \le i_\ell \le n$ such that $f^\ell(E_j) \subset (x_{i_\ell - 1}, x_{i_\ell})$. This together with the fact that $f|_{[x_{i_\ell-1},x_{i_\ell})} = \phi_{i_\ell}$ yields $f^k|_{E_j} = \psi_j|_{E_j}$, where $\psi_j = \phi_{i_k} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_1} \in \mathscr{C}_k$. The claim that f^k is left-continuous or right-continuous at each point of I follows from Lemma 4.5. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1} \cap W_0^{n-1}$, then $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ admits the invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic.*

Proof. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1} \cap W_0^{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$. By Lemma 4.6, there exist $r \ge 2$, $(y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \in \Omega_{r-1} \cap W^{r-1}$, and $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r \in \mathscr{C}_k$ such that

$$f^k|_{(y_{j-1},y_j)} = \psi_j|_{(y_{j-1},y_j)},\ 1 \le j \le r. \tag{10}$$

Let $g = f_{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_n,y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}}$ and $\tilde{g} = f^k$. We claim that $\tilde{g}$ satisfies (P1) and (P2) in Corollary 4.2. The property (P1) follows automatically from the equation (10). The property (P2) follows from (P1) together with the fact that f^k is left-continuous or right-continuous at each point of I, as assured by Lemma 4.6. By Corollary 4.2, the map $\tilde{g} = f^k$ admits the invariant quasi-partition, that is to say, the set

$$\tilde{Q} = \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} \cup_{s\ge 0} \tilde{g}^{-s}(\{y_j\}) = \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} \cup_{s\ge 0} f^{-sk}(\{y_j\})$$

is finite, implying that the set $Q' = \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} \cup_{s\geq 0} f^{-s}(\{y_j\})$ is finite. By the proof of Lemma 4.6, we have that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\} \subset \{y_1, \ldots, y_{r-1}\}$. In this way,

$$Q := \cup_{i=1}^{n-1} \cup_{s\geq 0} f^{-s}(\{x_i\}) \subset \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} \cup_{t\geq 0} f^{-t}(\{y_j\}),$$

and Q is therefore finite. This proves that f admits the invariant quasi-partition.

By Corollary 4.2, the map $\tilde{g} = f^k$ is asymptotically periodic. We claim that f is also asymptotically periodic. Let $x \in I$, then there exists a periodic orbit γ_k of f^k such that $\omega_{f^k}(x) = \gamma_k$. Let $p \in \gamma_k$. Notice that p is a periodic point of f, thus there exists a periodic orbit γ of f that contains p and γ_k. Let U be a neighborhood of γ given by Lemma 4.4. Since $\omega_{f^k}(x) = \gamma_k \subset \gamma$, there exists an integer $\eta \geq 1$ such that $f^{\eta k}(x) \in U$. By Lemma 4.4, $\omega_f(x) = \omega_f\left(f^{\eta k}(x)\right) = \gamma$ which proves the claim. Hence, f is asymptotically periodic. □

5. An upper bound for the number of periodic orbits

Throughout this section, let $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n : [0,1] \to (0,1)$ be Lipschitz contractions and W_0 be the full set in the statement of Theorem 4.7. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1} \cap W_0^{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$. By Theorem 4.7, f admits the invariant quasi-partition $\mathscr{P} = \cup_{\ell=1}^{m} J_\ell$ with endpoints in $\{0,1\} \cup \cup_{i=1}^{n-1} Q_i$, where the set $Q_i = \cup_{k\geq 0} f^{-k}(\{x_i\})$ is finite.

Here we prove the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *The n-interval PC f has at most n periodic orbits.*

We would like to distinguish some intervals in $\mathscr{P}$, first those having $x_0 = 0$ and $x_n = 1$ as endpoints. We denote them by F_0 and G_n, where $x_0 \in \overline{F_0}$ and $x_n \in \overline{G_n}$. For every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, let $F_i = (a, x_i)$ and $G_i = (x_i, b)$ be the two intervals in $\mathscr{P}$ which have x_i as an endpoint. We may have $G_i = F_{i+1}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Among the intervals $F_1, G_1, \ldots, F_n, G_n$, there are at least n and at most $2(n-1)$ pairwise distinct intervals. We will prove that among them there are $1 \leq r \leq n$ pairwise distinct intervals, say $C_1, \ldots, C_r$, which satisfy the following: for every $J \in \mathscr{P}$, there exist $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that

$$f^k(J) \subset C_i.$$

This implies that the asymptotical behavior of any interval $J \in \mathscr{P}$ coincides with the asymptotical behavior of an interval C_i.

Let $J, J_1, J_2 \in \mathscr{P}$ and $k \geq 0$, we remark that $f^k(J) \subset J_1 \cup J_2$ if, and only if, $f^k(J) \subset J_1$ or $f^k(J) \subset J_2$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $(a,b) \in \mathscr{P}$ with $a \in Q_i$ and $b \in Q_j$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ and $i \neq j$. Then there exists $\ell \geq 0$ such that (at least) one of the following statements holds*

(i) $f^\ell(F_i) \subset F_j \cup G_j$ *or* $f^\ell(G_i) \subset F_j \cup G_j$;
(ii) $f^\ell(F_j) \subset F_i \cup G_i$ *or* $f^\ell(G_j) \subset F_i \cup G_i$.

Proof. The hypotheses that $a \in Q_i$, $b \in Q_j$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ (see (9)) imply that there exist unique integers $\ell_i, \ell_j \geq 0$ such that $f^{\ell_i}(a) = x_i$ and $f^{\ell_j}(b) = x_j$. Moreover,

$f^k(a) \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ for every $k \neq \ell_i$, and $f^m(b) \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ for every $m \neq \ell_j$. Let $J = (a, b)$, then $f^{\ell_i}(J) \subset F_i \cup G_i$ and $f^{\ell_j}(J) \subset F_j \cup G_j$. Now it is clear that the claim (i) happens if $\ell_i \leq \ell_j$ (then we set $\ell = \ell_j - \ell_i$) and the claim (ii) occurs if $\ell_i \geq \ell_j$ (then we set $\ell = \ell_i - \ell_j$). □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $J \in \mathscr{P}$, then there exist $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $k \geq 0$ such that $f^k(J) \subset F_i \cup G_i$.*

Proof. It follows closely from the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 5.2. □

Lemma 5.4. *There exists a permutation $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ of $1, \dots, n-1$ and intervals $(a_{k-1}, b_k) \in \mathscr{P}$ with $a_{k-1} \in Q_{i_1} \cup \ldots \cup Q_{i_{k-1}}$ and $b_k \in Q_{i_k}$ for every $2 \leq k \leq n-1$.*

Proof. Since $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}$ are pairwise disjoint finite subsets of the interval $(0, 1)$, the numbers $y_i = \min Q_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ are pairwise distinct numbers. Hence, there exists a permutation $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ of $1, \dots, n-1$ such that $y_{i_1} < \cdots < y_{i_{n-1}}$. Set $b_k = y_{i_k} \in Q_{i_k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, therefore $0 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_{n-1} < 1$. Notice that

$$(0, b_k) \cap \left(Q_{i_k} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{i_{n-1}}\right) = \emptyset \quad \text{for every} \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1. \tag{11}$$

For every $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, let $S_k = (0, b_k) \cap \left(Q_1 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{n-1}\right)$. Since $b_{k-1} \in (0, b_k) \cap Q_{i_{k-1}}$, we have that $S_k \neq \emptyset$. Set $a_{k-1} = \max S_k$, then $a_{k-1} < b_k$ and $(a_{k-1}, b_k) \in \mathscr{P}$. By (11), $a_{k-1} \in Q_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{i_{k-1}}$, which concludes the proof. □

Using the permutation $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ defined in Lemma 5.4, for simplicity, set $F'_k = F_{i_k}$ and $G'_k = G_{i_k}$, for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, then there exist $1 \leq j < k$ and $\ell \geq 0$ such that (at least) one of the following statements holds:*
(i) $f^\ell(F'_j) \subset F'_k \cup G'_k$ or $f^\ell(G'_j) \subset F'_k \cup G'_k$,
(ii) $f^\ell(F'_k) \subset F'_j \cup G'_j$ or $f^\ell(G'_k) \subset F'_j \cup G'_j$.

Proof. Let $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ be the permutation of $1, \dots, n-1$ given by Lemma 5.4, then for every $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, there exist $1 \leq j < k$ and $(a, b) \in \mathscr{P}$ with $a \in Q_{i_j}$ and $b \in Q_{i_k}$. The interval (a, b) fulfills the hypothesis of Lemma 5.2. The proof is finished by making the following substitutions in the claim of Lemma 5.2: $i = i_j$, $j = i_k$, $F_i = F_{i_j} = F'_j$ and $F_j = F_{i_k} = F'_k$. □

Next we introduce an equivalence relation in the family of intervals $\mathscr{P}' \subset \mathscr{P}$ defined by

$$\mathscr{P}' = \{F_1, G_1, \dots, F_{n-1}, G_{n-1}\} = \{F'_1, G'_1, \dots, F'_{n-1}, G'_{n-1}\}.$$

Definition 5.6. Let $C_1, C_2 \in \mathscr{P}'$. We say that C_1 and C_2 are *equivalent* if there exists $C \in \mathscr{P}'$ such that $f^\ell(C_1) \cup f^k(C_2) \subset C$ for some $\ell, k \geq 0$. If C_1 and C_2 are equivalent, we write $C_1 \equiv C_2$.

Lemma 5.7. *The relation $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation with at most n equivalence classes.*

Proof. It is clear that $\equiv$ is reflexive and symmetric. To prove that $\equiv$ is transitive, let $C_1, C_2, C_3 \in \mathscr{P}'$ with $C_1 \equiv C_2$ and $C_1 \equiv C_3$. We will prove that $C_3 \equiv C_2$.

There exist $C, C' \in \mathscr{P}'$ such that $f^\ell(C_1) \cup f^k(C_2) \subset C$ and $f^p(C_1) \cup f^q(C_3) \subset C'$ for some $\ell, k, p, q \geq 0$. If $\ell \geq p$, then $f^{\ell-p}(C') \subset C$, which means that $f^{q+\ell-p}(C_3) \subset C$ implying that $C_3 \equiv C_2$. Otherwise $\ell < p$, then $f^{p-\ell}(C) \subset C'$, which means that $f^{k+p-\ell}(C_2) \subset C'$ implying that $C_3 \equiv C_2$. We have proved that $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation

Denote by $[C]$ the equivalence class of the interval $C \in \mathscr{P}'$. Now we will prove that $\equiv$ has at most n equivalence classes.

For each $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, let $m_k \geq 1$ denote the number of pairwise distinct terms in the sequence

$$[F_1'], [G_1'], \ldots, [F_k'], [G_k']. \tag{12}$$

We have that $m_1 \leq 2$. By Corollary 5.5, for each $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, there exist $C_1 \in \{F_1', G_1', \ldots, F_{k-1}', G_{k-1}'\}$ and $C_2 \in \{F_k', G_k'\}$ such that $C_1 \equiv C_2$. Hence, $m_k \leq m_{k-1} + 1$ for every $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. By induction, $m_k \leq k+1$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. The proof is finished by taking $k = n-1$. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The fact that $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}'$ implies that the periodic orbits of f are entirely contained in the union of the intervals of the quasi-partition $\mathscr{P}$. Moreover, each interval of $\mathscr{P}$ intersects at most one periodic orbit of f. By Lemma 5.3, every orbit of f intersects an interval of $\mathscr{P}'$. The intervals of $\mathscr{P}'$ that intersect the same periodic orbit of f belong to the same equivalence class. In this way, there exists an injective map that assigns to each periodic orbit of f an equivalence class. As a result, the number of periodic orbits of f is bounded from above by the number of equivalence classes, which by Lemma 5.7 is less or equal to n. □

The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows straightforwardly from Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 5.1.

6. Example

Example 6.1. Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be affine maps defined by

$$\phi_1(x) = \frac{4}{5}x + \frac{1}{10} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2(x) = \frac{3}{5}x + \frac{1}{20}, \text{ for every } x \in \bar{I}. \tag{13}$$

Note that both maps are strictly increasing and that $\phi_1(x) > \phi_2(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{I}$. We will show here that for the IFS $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ defined by (13), $\cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$ is a nontrivial interval. To this end, observe first that for any integer $k \geq 1$,

$$\max A_k = \phi_1^k(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \min A_k = \phi_2^k(0). \tag{14}$$

This is immediate for $k = 1$. Suppose now that these formulas hold for a given $\ell > 1$. Let $h_{\ell+1} \in \mathscr{C}_{\ell+1}$ such that for $h_\ell \in \mathscr{C}_\ell$, $h_{\ell+1} = \phi_1 \circ h_\ell$ or $h_{\ell+1} = \phi_2 \circ h_\ell$. By the induction

hypothesis, $\max h_\ell(I) \le \phi_1^\ell(1)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\max h_{\ell+1}(I) &\le \max\left\{\phi_2(h_\ell(I)), \phi_1(h_\ell(I))\right\}\\ &= \max \phi_1(h_\ell(I)) \le \phi_1(\phi_1^\ell(1)) = \phi_1^{\ell+1}(1).\end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{\ell+1}$ is maximised by maximising $h_{\ell+1}(I)$, this implies that $\max A_{\ell+1} = \phi_1^{\ell+1}(1)$. A similar argument shows that $\min A_{\ell+1} = \phi_2^{\ell+1}(0)$.

Observe that for any map of the form $\phi(x) = ax + b$, where $a \neq 1$, $\phi^k(x) = a^k x + b(1 - a^k)/(1 - a)$. Hence

$$\phi_1^k(1) = \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^k + \frac{1}{2}\left[1 - \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^k\right] \qquad \text{and} \qquad \phi_2^k(0) = \frac{1}{8}\left[1 - \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^k\right].$$

These formulas will be used to show that for any $k \ge 1$, $A_k = [\phi_2^k(0), \phi_1^k(1)]$. We proceed by induction on k. This claim is direct for $k = 1$ because $\cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_1} h(I) = \phi_1(I) \cup \phi_2(I) = [0.05, 0.65] \cup [0.1, 0.9] = [\phi_2(0), \phi_1(1)]$. Assume next that this claim holds for a given integer $\ell > 1$, namely that $A_\ell = [\phi_2^\ell(0), \phi_1^\ell(1)]$. Note that in this case,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(\phi_2^\ell(0)) &= \frac{1}{10}\left[1 - \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^\ell\right] + \frac{1}{10}\\ &< \frac{3}{5}\left[\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^\ell + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^\ell\right)\right] + \frac{1}{20} = \phi_2(\phi_1^\ell(1)),\end{aligned}$$

hence $\phi_1(A_\ell) \cap \phi_2(A_\ell) \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $[\phi_2^{\ell+1}(0), \phi_1^{\ell+1}(1)] \subset A_{\ell+1}$, but (14) also gives $A_{\ell+1} \subset [\phi_2^{\ell+1}(0), \phi_1^{\ell+1}(1)]$, therefore $A_{\ell+1} = [\phi_2^{\ell+1}(0), \phi_1^{\ell+1}(1)]$. To conclude, observe that $\cap_{k\ge 0} A_k = [\lim_{k\to\infty} \phi_2^k(0), \lim_{k\to\infty} \phi_1^k(1)] = [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}]$.

A graph for the sets A_k, $1 \le k \le 14$, of the IFS $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ defined by (13) is shown in Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. The IFS $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ and the corresponding sets $A_0, A_1, A_2, \ldots$.

References

[1] M. Blank and L. Bunimovich. Switched flow systems: pseudo billiard dynamics. *Dyn. Syst.*, **19**(4):359–370, 2004.

[2] H. Bruin and J. H. B. Deane. Piecewise contractions are asymptotically periodic. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **137**(4):1389–1395, 2009.

[3] E. Catsigeras, A. Rovella, and R. Budelli. Contractive piecewise continuous maps modeling networks of inhibitory neurons *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **61**(4):381–407, 2010.

[4] C. Chase, J. Serrano, and P. J. Ramadge. Periodicity and chaos from switched flow systems: contrasting examples of discretely controlled continuous systems. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, **38**(1):70–83, 1993.

[5] R. Coutinho, B. Fernandez, R. Lima and A. Meyroneinc. Discrete time piecewise affine models of genetic regulatory networks. *J. Math. Biol.*, **52**(4): 524–570, 2006.

[6] J-M. Gambaudo and C. Tresser. On the dynamics of quasi-contractions. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.*, **19**(1):61–114, 1988.

[7] C. Gutierrez. Smoothability of Cherry flows on two-manifolds. In *Geometric dynamics (Rio de Janeiro, 1981)*, volume 1007 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 308–331. Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[8] I-J Jeong. *Outer billiards with contraction*. Senior Thesis, Brown University, 2012.

[9] G. Del Magno, J. P. Gaivão and E. Gutkin, Dissipative outer billiards: a case study, Preprint available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1310.4724.pdf

[10] A. S. Matveev and A. V. Savkin. *Qualitative Theory of Hybrid Dynamical Systems*. Birkhauser, Boston, 2000.

[11] A. Nogueira, B. Pires and R. Rosales, Asymptotically periodic piecewise contractions of the interval, *Nonlinearity*, **27**(7): 1603–1610, 2014.

[12] A. Nogueira and B. Pires. *Dynamics of piecewise contractions of the interval*, accepted for publication in Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems.

[13] I. M. MacPhee, M. V. Menshikov, S. Popov and S. Volkov, *Periodicity in the transient regime of exhaustive polling systems*, Ann. App. Probab. **16**(4) (2006), 1816–1850.

[14] S. Tabachnikov, *On the dual billiard problem*, Adv. Math. **115**(1995), 221–249.

[15] J. C. Wells, *A note on a corollary of Sard's Theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1975), 513–514.